Issue 04 February 17th 2015

Vive Charlie!

Weekly Online Satire Magazine in Tribute to Charlie Hebdo



REST IN PEACE

Stephane Charbonnier
Jean Cabut
Bernard Verlhac
Georges Wolinski
Philippe Honore
Elsa Cayat
Bernard Maris
Michel Renaud
Mustapha Ourrad
Ahmed Merabet
Frederic Boisseau
Franck Brinsolaro

Vive Charlie!

Welcome to issue four of Vive Charlie.

Since issue three was released there has been yet another attack on freedom of speech, this time in Copenhagen. This has stiffened our resolve and reinforced our commitment to ensuring these tyrannical bullies, fuelled by superstitious nonsense, get a clear message, that the more they threaten our way of life and seek to remove our freedom of speech, the more we will push back in defiance.

We have also seen large numbers in London demonstrating against freedom of speech and demanding special privileges to protect the delicate sensitivities of one group. Meanwhile, UK Police have been exposed for grilling newsagents to ascertain the identity of people that bought a copy of Charlie Hebdo.

In view of these events we have launched our very own draw Mohammed competition and will be publishing an entry each week. The winner of this competition will be invited to provide a photograph and will be transformed into a cartoon character that will feature in Vive Charlie.

We have seen a surge in support for Vive Charlie recently, with pledges that push us ever nearer to our target that will ensure Vive Charlie continues for many years to come. We have made public the first three issues and encourage everyone to post the links on social media to help raise our profile.

To assist in covering our ongoing operational costs, we are auctioning a perfect bound, glossy hard copy of Vive Charlie issue one, signed by its co-founders @JihadistJoe and @noisykafir. We will be using our Twitter account @ViveCharlie to keep people up to date of the highest bid. In order to make a bid you must send us a direct message on Twitter in £GBP. Your identity will remain a secret and the highest bidder will be informed just prior to release of issue 6 of Vive Charlie. Bidding starts at £20.00.

We hope you enjoy issue four of and thank you for your continued support.

A Note About This Issue's Cover, by @Noisykafir

For those X-Men fans amongst you, of a certain age, you will recognise this issue's cover as a homage to John Byrne & Terry Austin's X-Men #141 from 1980. I can't take credit for the layout or composition but the work itself is mine. If you're worried about copyright I can assure you every stroke of the pen is from my own hand. This cover will now join the dozens of other homages to X-Men #141 (do an online search to see just how many there are). My version may not be the best artistically but I certainly hope it is the most apt and poignant of them all.

As a child I read #141 and it has haunted me ever since. the story is set in a dystopian future somewhere after 1984 (written/drawn in 1980) where the characters have been either interred in a concentration camp or slain. Only 1 X-Man, Wolverine, remains at large. The story bothered me. My favourite characters, dead. And it didn't have a happy ending. Back in reality my favourite artists are likewise either in hiding or dead. Their crimes? Drawing, writing and making films. No happy endings here either. So for the time being, like Wolverine, I'll operate from the shadows, behind a mask and pseudonym, and draw the fuck out of anything I please. As far fetched as comparing myself to Wolverine is (and how it is!) I do fancy myself as somewhat of a rogue illustrator with retractable pencils and an adamantium backbone! And if they come for me? "SNIKT!"

Britain's Vilest Troll - Daily Mail. Award winning Columnist, Satirist, Libertarian legend. Champion of Free Speech and a Free Society.

Where did the fun go? Why have we stopped laughing and become miserable, dour faced, cynical bastards shuffling around with scowls on our faces? You must know what I mean, right? Where has the comedy gone?

Let's go back to basics. We are humans and we smile to show approval and laugh because we are programmed to react to things that will release natural endorphins in our brain and make us feel good. Tell a baby a joke and watch the eyes light up in pure delight, the infectious giggle, the strangled guffaw and the aching belly laugh - all entirely natural in the great apes and part of the fun of being alive. It's free, healthy, untaxable, lengthens your lifespan, increases your social circle and makes you more attractive to the opposite sex. What's not to like?

Ah. The joke "might" offend someone - beautifully demonstrated by the author of the Fireman Sam stories as he travelled through Gatwick Airport. Dave Jones simply remarked, in a light hearted way "If I was wearing the scarf over my face, I wonder what would happen", as he passed through security. This was in reference to a Muslim woman wearing the traditional Niqab face covering being waved through security in front of him. He was detained, by a private security guard I hasten to add, not the Police, for an hour whilst they attempted to extract an apology for this heinous crime of amusing himself out loud. What the hell happened to "can't you take a joke?" No, the search was on for anyone who "may be offended" to get this hard core filthy thought criminal arrested and up before the beak.

Dave never mentioned religion or race. He mentioned a scarf and yet was unlawfully detained, his passport and boarding card confiscated and told he could not leave until he apologised. I'd like to remind all our readers that this can happen to any one of us. To quote the official involved, "We now live in a different time and some things are not to be said". And they're right.

I've listened to "alternative" comedians for the last twenty years spouting forth their tirades of abuseagainst anything they choose - as long as it didn't offend the political elite of the Left. Dead Th atcher jokes, endless parodies of anything that approved by the BBC Diversity Unit and no one raised an eyebrow. I've always been of the opinion that you have to take offence, it cannot be given - so the wonderful staff at Gatwick set about finding someone who could be offended, just as our moral guardians in the media now hound any misplaced or misconstrued tweet and demand the evil perpetrators head on a plate. Out there, right now, are an army of bitter, vinegar titted old harridans scouring the media for something to be offended by. Where once they would be told to simply bugger off, they now have the power to have you arrested, charged and sent packing with a criminal record for daring to utter what you think, instead of blindly accepting what they demand you to think. Now that, I find offensive - that we have an army of "victims" ready to scream like spoiled brats if their version of the world is not instilled in us all.

Expect to see lots more of this. Our tweets are monitored, our Emails read, our phone calls stored, all in the hope that something we say, do or think can be used in evidence against us - we are all suspects. I'm amazed they haven't started steaming open the mail yet - I'm sure someone out there is telling 'Englishman, Welshman and Irishman' jokes on pen and paper, the terrorists.

Loosen up a little people. Life is hard enough already without us volunteering to erase the thought crime of something we might find amusing in our own minds lest another human being somewhere on the planet takes offence. We rightly object to censorship in our media so when the hell did we accept censorship in what we find funny? If you want to see where that leads, go and visit anywhere where laughter is banned - most Labour Council meetings, North Korea, any focus group involving feminists or an audience with Lenny Henry.

To insult me, I must first value your opinion - everything else is water off a duck's back because I have decided that I own my thoughts and I am responsible for what comes out of my mouth, not some jumped up blockleiter who assumes he knows best.

Now, this lesbian dwarf goes into a pub in Brixton with a monkey on her shoulder..

Draw Mohammed Day

May 20th 2015

In response to the Paris and Copenhagen terrorist attacks we are launching a 'Draw Mohammed' competition.

We invite you to submit your depictions of Mohammed to vivecharlie@mail.com. Each week we will publish our favourite, which will go into our final, from which a winner will be selected on or around the 20th of May.

The winner will be invited to email us a photo and we will transform you into a cartoon character and feature you in an issue of Vive Charlie.

Below is a Mohammed drawing by our very own @noisykafir

MOHAMMED AND HIS FAVOURITE WIFE, AISHA



BURKAPAPA

Meet the Family: by Noisykafir



Burkapapa & Burkamama



Burkavitriola

Burkadecapito

Burkaviolatus



Burkaflagella



Burkalapidus



Burkaimmolata



Burkaincendio

BURKAPAPA











By @noisykafir

The only way to repeal blasphemy codes is to breach them

By LSS Secretary Charlie Klendjian

LSS LAWYERS' SECULAR SOCIETY

Recently I have listened patiently to the most extraordinarily confused and painful discussions on the rights and wrongs of murdering people who draw cartoons. What an odd response our public discourse has generated towards what is, to my mind at least, a moral issue of the most blinding clarity.

I have heard people discuss virtually every single free speech scenario possible: incitement to murder; publishing military and intelligence secrets on the internet; defamation; contempt of court; Holocaust denial; footballers' super-injunctions; and rape victims' right to anonymity. You name it and I have heard it. I even heard of a discussion between lawyers – actual grown-up lawyers – about the rights and wrongs of wearing an openly anti-Semitic t-shirt to work. Come to think of it, it's very suspicious just how many diversionary discussions I have heard about Jews generally since the Paris attacks.

The one free speech scenario which is the most relevant here (and it's blasphemy, just in case you've already forgotten), seems to be the one everyone is now discussing the least.

I'm starting to get the impression people don't want to talk about the problem facing us. And that, to me, is a big part of the problem.

I have heard the most exquisitely manicured theories about "marginalisation" and "stigmatisation" and I have heard dissertations about conditions in French suburbs, and also about foreign policy – even though the attackers themselves left us in no doubt about the motives for their savagery by announcing proudly that they had avenged their prophet, just before speeding away in a car for their date with death a couple of days later at the hands of French commandos.

I have had my fill of whatabouttery concerning the unpleasant passages in the Bible, as if the way intelligent human beings solve the problems generated by Islam is to analyse Christianity's holy texts.

Perhaps most facepalmingly, I have heard that the cause of these murders was France's proud and long-



established secularism. If all else fails, blame the secularists.

The purpose, or at least the effect, of focusing on every other free speech scenario under the sun and all the other tangents above, is this: it avoids the need for a very awkward conversation. It avoids the need for absolute honesty and moral courage – things which are in pitifully short supply. It avoids the need to face up to a deeply sobering factual matrix: that the cause of these murders was murderers; that these murderers were enforcing a blasphemy code; and that this blasphemy code is etched within Islam's DNA. You can see why people will do anything to change the subject.

I have also heard endless discussions about whether the magazine Charlie Hebdo and its noble cartoonists were "racist". This is nothing new or unsurprising. A discussion about any aspect of Islam is simply not possible without a discussion about racism. The default assumption when criticising

Islam is that you are a racist, and it is up to you to prove a negative: that you are not racist. It is not up to the person making the accusation to produce any evidence of racism. For those of us who are still alive, being subject to this reverse-burden-of-proof is highly annoying, intimidating, time-consuming, exhausting and potentially career-ending – and that is its purpose. But then at least we're still alive to defend ourselves from the smears.

Focusing on the dead victims' alleged "racism" is despicable, as is focusing on a rape victim's breast size or lipstick thickness. It transfers culpability ever-so-smoothly from perpetrator to victim. "They were just being provocative"; "They were asking for it"; "What did they expect?"; "Sure, they've got the right to draw those cartoons, but…" Et cetera.

Even if we assume for a moment that the cartoonists were racist – and I have seen no evidence whatsoever that they were – this changes things not one jot. In a secular liberal democracy, holding and expressing unpleasant views is not punishable by the contents of an AK-47 anyway. If that were the case then there would be some very nervous imams, "scholars" and "community leaders" in the UK that I can think of.

The endless discussions about the cartoonists' alleged "racism" have generated an elaborate sideshow and also smeared the memory of some tremendously brave and inspirational individuals. These individuals were valiantly holding the line for free speech, and therefore for freedom itself, on behalf of everyone. Their bodies are barely cold. These people have been sold out in the most spectacular fashion. What a way to honour their bravery.

No well-informed commentator is making the case for completely limitless free speech. Even in mature, secular democracies like our own free speech does of course have its limits. But the point here is that one of those limits is not and must never be "offence", so any discussion of whether the cartoons were offensive is completely pointless anyway. Nor is one of those limits whether someone might shoot you or hurl a bomb through your office window because they don't like what you say.

Free speech without the right to offend is the proverbial chocolate fireguard. The whole purpose of freedom of speech is to enable the airing of views that people will disagree on, however strongly. If you think, somehow, that depicting Mohammed constitutes an infringement of a Muslim's lawful rights then you can read a previous blog post of mine here.

The day after the massacre not one single British newspaper chose to depict Mohammed. This was inexcusable. Only one British television programme, BBC's Newsnight, had the guts to depict Mohammed (they used the image at the top of this article).

The message I take from all this seventh-century madness is loud and clear: that we are now subject to a blasphemy law. But the situation is worse than that. The inability and unwillingness of our politicians and commentators to even acknowledge this unsettling fact makes it a blasphemy law on stilts, for we are not even allowed to say there are things we are not allowed to say. What a mess.

This is what a world without secularism looks like. It is bleak and it is ruthless. And all indications suggest it's something we'll have to get used to unless more people are prepared to take a stand. If not, the world we leave to the next generation will be significantly less free than the one we came into.

It is no exaggeration to say that our entire way of life is rapidly boiling down to a few drops inside a saucepan which is revealing one embarrassingly straightforward question at its bottom: are we allowed to depict Mohammed? The question I have put here is so mind-blowingly simple, and the gravity of its implications so enormous, that I am only prepared to grant you a one-word answer. My answer, in the unlikely event it's not already abundantly clear to you, is "yes". What's yours?

Condemning murder is the easy part. Any fool can do that. The hard part, for far too many people, is affirming the right to free speech – specifically the right to cause offence and in this case to depict Mohammed. Hashtags and strongly-worded condemnations and marches and selfies and calls for "solidarity" are all well and good, but they are the bare minimum we should expect. If people are not also willing to unequivocally defend – and actually physically exercise – the right to depict Mohammed then it's all a bit #hollow.

It's important to grasp that the purpose of the blasphemy code isn't just to suppress the depiction of Mohammed. Our concerns are not limited to dead or out-of-work cartoonists. Ultimately the purpose of Islam's blasphemy code is to suppress free enquiry and criticism of Islam in its entirety, and this most certainly includes sharia law. The razor-wire ring-fencing of Islam as a subject we are allowed to scrutinise, mock and ridicule is to the detriment of every man, woman and child on the face of this planet, Muslim or non-Muslim alike, and we cannot

allow this to happen. If freedom is going to die we must at least go down all guns blazing.

A blasphemy code represents the very end of human progress, and in fact the selection of a reverse gear. Without free speech an improvement of the human condition is impossible and a degradation inevitable.

We are going to have to get far, far braver. Many of us have never had to fight for our freedoms and it's really starting to show. The only way to repeal blasphemy codes is to breach them. The more regularly, the more defiantly, the more proudly, and the more unapologetically we breach them, the quicker and more complete will be their demise.

We are also going to have to become more honest. We will never, ever solve a problem that we cannot or will not identify. The problem in this case is not "racism". It is not "marginalisation". It is not "stigmatisation". It is not conditions in French suburbs. It is not foreign policy. It is not the Bible. It is not the Jews. And it is not secularism.

The problem is a vicious and deadly blasphemy code and that blasphemy code comes from Islam.

The Lawyers' Secular Society believes that legal rights and the administration of justice should be based on equality, respect for human rights and objective evidence, and that no weight should be attached to religious doctrine, belief in the supernatural or so-called cultural traditions. We campaign against any religious practices or privileges which infringe the lawful rights of others.

Website: https://lawyerssecularsociety.wordpress.com/ Twitter: https://twitter.com/LawSecSoc

Timmy nice but Dhimmi

Timmy nice but Dhimmi

Universally Challenged

Hosted by Christopher Hitchens



Hitch: This week's teams are The Church of Scientology and Abe's Army, with team captains John Travolta and Abraham. OK, question one. Who is this a picture of?



BUZZZ Abe's Army Allen: My next girlfriend?



Hitch: OK, question 2. What events are marked on the 5th of November by setting off fireworks?



BUZZZ Abe's Army Netanyahu: It's a celebration of unprovoked Hamas rocket attacks against Israel.



Hitch: Question 3, what is the most common mental illness known to man?



BUZZZ Scientology Alley: Atheism?



Hitch: What is the first thing one should do, directly after meeting God?

BUZZZ Abe's Army Abe: Circumcise yourself and your entire family, even if you are 99 years old.



Hitch: What do ISIS shout just prior to decapitating infidels?



BUZZZ Abe's Army Nimoy: Live long and prosper?



Hitch: Final question, what food is traditionally eaten on Christmas Day?



BUZZZ Scientology Travolta: A Royale with cheese of course. It's traditionally eaten everyday.

Universally Challenged

Hosted by Christopher Hitchens

Mark Sparrow is a writer, journalist, photographer and broadcaster. Presenter of Channel 4's Dispatches: 'The Truth About Hospital Food'. Mark uses Twitter to debate and discuss issues at @markgsparrow.

© Mark Sparrow 2015

Hello, hello, hello... Is that a copy of Charlie Hebdo in your hand?

'Good morning, sir. What can I get you?'

'I'll have a pack of Benson and Hedges plus a copy of Charlie Hebdo, please.'

'Can I see your satire permit, sir? We can't be too careful these days. Last week we had the filth round asking if anyone had been ordering Private Eye. They wanted names, addresses and everything.'

Okay, so I'm exaggerating a bit but if you read the UK press last week you will probably have heard that police forces across the UK have been checking up on members of the public who had ordered copies of the souvenir edition of the French satirical magazine Charlie Hebdo.

The first indication that the police were in the business of policing satire was when retired nurse, Anne Keat, wrote to The Guardian to say that her local newsagent in the sleepy little town of Corsham, Wiltshire, had been visited by a police officer and asked for names of customers who had ordered a copies of Charlie Hebdo.

Oddly enough, The Guardian printed Mrs Keat's letter but didn't think it worthy of further investigation. However, the Twittersphere had other ideas and soon enough people were asking questions of the Wiltshire Constabulary. A statement was hastily issued that basically said a local PC on the beat had got a little over excited and had exceeded his pay grade. The reason for him asking for the details was all to do with 'community tensions'. This is rather an odd response as Corsham is about as monocultural as it's possible to be.

A few hours after the Wiltshire Police statement, reports emerged of other newsagents around the country having been visited by plod, and asked for names and addresses of people who had ordered copies of Charlie Hebdo. Both Cheshire and Dyfed-Powys police had been snooping and the same reason as Wiltshire Police gave – assessing community tensions – was trotted out. Once again, neither Dyfed Powys nor Cheshire could be described as centres of Islamic extremism.

Paul Merrett, the owner of a newsagent in Presteigne, Wales, said a detective and a police community support officer arrived at his shop and started to question his wife about the people who bought them. He said: 'They wanted to know where we had got them from, and did we know who we had sold them to.'

Mr Merrett's wife told the officers that she wasn't prepared to hand over any names. She thought originally that they were in trouble for selling Charlie Hebdo, but the officers assured her that she wasn't and that they just wanted to know who had bought copies.

A Dyfed-Powys police spokesman later denied that customer details were requested from Mrs Merrett. However, a little more digging unearthed the fact that the initiative, far from being the work of a few rogue PCSOs playing at Special Branch, was in fact the brainchild of ACPO, the Association of Chief Police Officers, a private limited company that coordinates policing practice across the UK.

ACPO's officer in charge of preventing terrorism is Chief Constable Sir Peter Fahy, and he released this statement:

"Following the attacks in Paris, there has been an increase in incidents of anti-semitism and Islamaphobia. Officers have been actively monitoring possible sources of tension and investigating reports of hate crimes.

"Forces were aware of the potential for heightened tension with the release of Charlie Hebdo and many neighbourhood police officers, who are well known in their communities, may have opted to visit sellers to establish any concerns and provide reassurance. It is through work such as this that we learn more about people's worries and can help to solve problems."

So, apparently Old Bill was just checking up to make sure everyone was safe. And in order to ensure everyone was safe ACPO requested lists of all newsagents who had ordered copies of Charlie Hebdo from the UK's main wholesale newsagents. John Menzies, a major wholesaler, reluctantly admitted to passing on a copy of the order list to ACPO, presumably with the necessary data protection procedures being correctly followed. The other main UK wholesaler, WH Smith, refused to comment.

Now, I'm willing to be charitable here and assume the police really were worried about the safety of the public in the wake of the attack on Charlie Hebdo, but I fail to understand how asking for the names and addresses of anyone buying a copy of the magazine could achieve that. Monitoring what people read, especially when it's satire or publications that are critical of authority, is the sort of thing we expect from tin-pot dictatorships. To see this kind of behaviour in the UK is fairly shocking and one wonders how long this sort of intelligence gathering been going on?

The attack on the offices of Charlie Hebdo and the murder of 12 journalists resulted in a huge outpouring of public support for the freedom of speech and liberal western values. For the police to react by investigating members of the public for buying a perfectly legal publication goes against the whole spirit of those displays of solidarity. It was a most inappropriate response and was bound to alarm members of the public who have no wish to be spied upon.

The police forces involved say that the details of the readers will be deleted from police computers, but how can we be sure? Trust has been broken and it will take some effort for the police to repair the damage. It's probably time that police officers were given better training in the rights and privacy of citizens. The expectation of that privacy should be drummed into every serving police officer in the country. Whether it's taking photos in public or just buying a magazine from our local newsagent, police officers must know that our right to go about our daily business in peace and that privacy is the cornerstone of any mature democracy.

Let's hope this was a one-off incident. The state has been getting far too big for its boots lately and it's time it realized it works for us and not the other way around.



Lars Vilks, a 68-year-old Swedish cartoonist known for his provocative drawings of Mohammed, has faced several attempted attacks and death threats after he depicted Mohammed as a stray dog in 2007. A few days ago Omar Abdel Hamid El-Hussein, shouting in Arabic, opened fire on a café where Lars Vilks and around 40 other people were taking part in a debate on free speech, killing Finn Nørgaard, a 55 year old film maker.

El-Hussain then fled the scene and several hours later shot Dan Uzan in the head as he guarded the door of a Bar Mitzvah. So just like the Paris Attacks, someone that insulted Mohammed and a Jew were targeted, as commanded by the Quran.....

Doesn't take a genius to join the dots, does it? RIP Finn Nørgaard and Dan Uzan.


The current war on freedom of speech and expression by those that want to ban freedom of speech and expression, as it upsets their delicate sensitivities, is at a critical point. Blasphemy laws are at the core of sharia law, if we allow one, it's inevitable that the other will follow. Our core values are literally hanging by a thread and people need to stand up and be vocal about the things they currently take for granted.
SHARIA LAW
ISLAM
USA
FRANCE
UK
@EppersonDon

FREE RAIF BADAWI

Raif Badawi was arrested in 2012 on a charge of insulting Islam through electronic channels and brought to court on several charges including apostasy. He was sentenced to 10 years in prison and 1,000 lashes for setting up a website that championed free speech in Saudi Arabia. His blog, the Saudi Free Liberals Forum, was shut down after his arrest in 2012.

The lashes are being carried out over 20 weeks. The first 50 were administered on January 9, 2015. The second set has been postponed twice due to Badawi's poor health.

Amnesty International has a petition with over a million signatures already added. We encourage all our readers to sign this petition by clicking HERE

Aseem Trivedi is an independent cartoonist and free speech activist from New Delhi, India. He is creating a cartoon for each of the 50 lashes Raif Badawi has received. Above is number 7 in his series of 50. Vive Charlie will be featuring his cartoons each week so please follow him on twitter.
@aseem_trivedi

Videos of the week

Pat Condell - A message to offended Muslims

Boo-hoo, poor you.

Islamists create climate of fear to curb free speech.

Practical Pranks - Middle Eastern Style

A compilation of pranks proving that some people in the Middle East have a sense of humour. There's hope yet.

Wafa Sultan - Clash of Eras Not Civilisations

Couragous Arab-American psychologist gives the Al-Jazeera presenter and guest a piece of her mind.

Muslamic Whispers





JIHAD-E-BAY

SEX SLAVE $15 SECTION

Augustina is from Malaysia and has a very desirable lady beard that has taken her 17 years to grow under her burka. A real prize slave.

Fatima is actually a size zero, but comes free with 200 kilos of plastic explosives expertly hidden under her burka. She'll go out with a big bang.

If you are a misogynistic pig then Aisha is great to have around the house. She takes a great punch and always comes back for more.



SPEECH WARS

Episode two. Emperor Murdoch is closing in on spies from the Secular Alliance that have stolen his secret plans. Evil Emperor Murdoch and his dark side warriors are currently preparing to do battle with the even darker side of Moe and his jihadi knights. Moe has almost conquered earth and is intent on imposing blasphemy laws throughout the galaxy to kill free speech.....






Terminate her Master, she abuses free speech and turns the even darker side against us
Patience my apprentice, we must recover my plans first
LOL Vader, an apprentice! I bet you were the one that Allan fired for being too soft.
NO!!! He only fired me because I wouldn't embrace the even darker side
You're too late anyway, the plans are with the dhimmi droids and you'll be vilified by the Secular Alliance once they make them public on Earth


We must not let my plans get into the public domain. They'll find out I'm taking bribes from the even darker side
It's OK Master, the Progressive Alliance will support genocide before they support the Secular Alliance, so a few bribes will be fine
I've announced the dark side as 'The Religion of Peace' so we can silence anyone that objects by labelling them as darksideophobic and racist
That should stop people cancelling their Sky TV subscriptions and preserve your evil media empire
Dispatch a detachment to intercept the dhimmi droids and recover my plans
I'll instruct Admiral Bush to make the arrangements


Master Dougie Murray, please buy R2Dave2 as well, he is like a lost puppy without me
Why should I? dhimmi droids are useless, I'm just going to melt you down and sell you to the Church so they can make gold crosses out of you
I have an important message for Charb-E1-Kenobi who can teach you about the powers of free speech

R2Dave2 holds the evil Emperor's plans to censor news reports in his memory banks
The dark side is helping the even darker side spread its blasphemy laws, you must learn the power of free speech and join the Secular Alliance
I need to get my lucky underpants from my uncle and aunt's house first

They've burnt them alive!
They are copying the even darker side. They burn pilots alive
We must get these plans to the Secular Alliance on Earth
We will have to go to the space port and find a ship to get us to Earth


How long have you had these dhimmis?
3 or 4 seasons, they're for sale if you want them
Let me see your identification
You don't need to see his identification


We don't need to see his identification
These aren't the dhimmis we are looking for
These aren't the dhimmis you are looking for
He can go about his business
You can go about your business, move along now


Bill Solo, I'm captain of the Millennium Robin, I hear you want passage to Earth?
Yes we are indeed, if it's a fast ship and you can get us there, no questions asked
A fast ship? Have you never heard of the Millennium Robin? It can outrun imperial cruisers and flying horses
How much for us two and two dhimmis?


10 Emmy Awards and a beard trimmer for Boyleybacca
10 Emmy Awards!!! we could buy our own ship for that...
We can give you the beard trimmer now and the rest when we get to Earth
You've got yourself a ship, let's go


That's your ship? What a piece of JUNK!!!
It's the fastest ship in the galaxy and has special sensors to detect the invisible pillars that hold up the sky. Jump in the back and let's go, we can't hang around here for too long or I'll get a parking ticket
INFIDELS
INDEPENDENT TRADERS
RHV 22L


Tell me Admiral Bush, have you recovered the Emperor's plans from the dhimmi droids?
I'm sorry to report that they have escaped and are on their way to see the Secular Alliance on earth
We will give you one last chance to sort it out. We're going to censor all tomorrow's papers so they say Asian grooming gangs rather than Muslim
I will summon General Blair as a last resort. He always does what I tell him

Get to Earth my little lap dog, I need you to commit genocide again in the name of freedom
Yes boss

ISIS ADVENTURES OF ALI & ALIM

By @EppersonDon

KNIFE SALE TODAY

OOOPS NOT GOOD

ISIS

I JUST CAN'T GO LOWER ON THE PRICE!

½ OFF

ISIS

Whilst there is no doubting that ISIS fighters are highly motivated, the evidence suggests they are not highly intelligent or highly trained.

This Jihadi "bloopers reel" is very revealing.



WHY ISLAM IS WORSE THAN NAZISM

By SERKAN ENGIN

http://paperboatsofpoetry.blogspot.com.tr/
https://twitter.com/serkanengin

I am an atheist author and poet, who lived as a Sunni Muslim for 23 years from birth, and I still live in a Muslim country, Turkey. My parents and relatives are all practicing Muslims. So, my views about Islam can be easily considered as an inside view.

I know that the title of this article seems assertive, but I will justify this title step by step.

First of all you have to learn that about Islam, if you are an "outsider", a non-Muslim, for example a Christian, an atheist, a Buddhist, a Jew or whatever else, all Muslims have the "right" of killing and raping you, grabbing all your properties, your country, land, money and anything else. They take this "right" from the book of their belief, the Quran. In other words, they take this "right" from their belief's core, the theology of Islam.

Look at the current situation in Syria. How can Islamist terrorists slaughter Alawites or rape Christian women so easily? Is it because they take this "right" from their belief and they believe that they will go to the heaven because of these vandalistic actions against "outsiders", who are out of Islam, who don't believe in the same religion as them, who are the "heretics" according to their beliefs. Some Muslims say, "But they are not the real Muslims." That is a big lie, it is the exact form of real Islam, because these vandalistic actions are commanded by the Quran.

You have heard many times that "Islam is a tolerant religion". This is the biggest lie that you can hear all over the world, and this lie is used as a mask to hide the terrible face of Islam. There is NO difference between Islam and Islamism. This is the main fault that the modern world makes about Islam. There are not different forms of Islam and Islamism, they are the same thing, and they have the same content. This separation is only an illusion and it is used by Muslims to hide the brutal, hateful, oppressive, murderous, genocidal face of Islam.

Islamic theology is based on the verses of Quran and hadiths. Hadiths are the words and actions of the Islamic prophet Muhammad and all Muslims must follow these words and actions, in addition to the orders of the Quran. For example, you have to have a shit as Muhammad did, and you have to clean your ass as he did, or you can rape and enslave a "heretic" woman, in a war as a sex slave, as Muhammad did, or you can torture your enemy in a war to learn the place of his hidden money as Muhammad did.

You "must" cut the hand of a thief as Muhammad did, no any prison sentence (according to the modern laws) is enough.

You must stone a woman to death as Muhammad did, because she has sex out of the rules of Islam (but you must only whip her partner a hundred times). You must definitely apply the punishments of Muhammad as stoning a woman to the death and whipping her partner a hundred times if you want to be considered a good Muslim.

You must kill the man who left Islam, as Muhammad did. You can't say "This is his own choice and he has the freedom of thought and belief", because it is an order of the Quran that you "must" kill the persons who were Muslim before and then left the religion of Islam.

You must kill all homosexuals according to the orders of Islam. No Muslims can say that according to Islam that their sexual orientation is their own natural right, according to the human rights norms of our age.

You have the "right" to marry a 9 year-old little girl as Muhammad did. In other words, you can rape a little child legally in Islam and make her a sex slave, and also a domestic slave till the end of her life.

You can lie alongside of your dead wife within 6 hours as Muhammad did. In other words you can rape the dead body of your wife within 6 hours of her death. Here is Islam... Here is the "tolerant religion"... Here is the right way to heaven... Here are the orders of Allah... Here are the actions of Muhammad...

You can easily see how civilized the Muslim countries of the world are because of Islam in Afghanistan, Nigeria, Turkey, Iran, Sudan and the others. You can see how much they have contributed to philosophy, art and science. You can see how respectful they are to the human rights, women rights, children rights,

freedom of expression and thought, press freedom, freedom of religion, etc.

The first genocide wave of the 20th century, the Armenian Genocide, the Assyrian Genocide and the Pontian Greek Genocide, had been perpetrated by Turk and Kurd people of the Ottoman Empire and new Turkish Republic with getting motivation from the "rights" that they had because of Islam; the "rights" of killing and raping the non-Muslims, enslaving their women and little girls as sex slaves and also domestic slaves and grabbing their money, houses and lands. However "The Committee of Union and Progress" (CUP) (Turkish: İttihat ve Terakki Cemiyeti) was based on Turkish nationalism, they had used Turk and Kurd people easily for these genocides because of Islam's content about non-Muslims. All the Turk and Kurd Muslims believed that they would go to heaven as they killed more non-Muslims like today's Islamist terrorists.

The owners of the second genocide wave of the 20th century were Nazis as you know. They took the genocides of Turks as a sample. It is known that Adolf Hitler said to his military commanders, "*Who, after all, speaks today of the annihilation of the Armenians?*" while they were talking about the reaction of the world about the genocides that they were planning to perpetrate.

Nazism was considered as a legal and respectable ideology at the beginning of the thirties and then the world saw how dangerous Nazism was. Millions of people died because of Nazism and today it is illegal to support Nazism in any civilized country. You can never make propaganda of Nazism legally. Today, Nazism is not considered as a thought alternative and it is not being included in freedom of thought and expression.

As I have detailed above, Islam is against the human rights norms of our age and it has more dangerous content than Nazism. Islam is not a belief alternative, it is just a humanity crime, and any crime can't have freedom in our modern world. So, Islam must be made illegal all over the world like Nazism, because of its destructive content and orders against human rights. All actions about Islam must be forbidden and the propagandists of Islam must be judged as instigating the crimes of murder, rape, theft and crimes against humanity. Otherwise, the world will be faced with a bigger tragedy as the Islamists gain more power, as it did because of Nazism.

Fatwa of the week with Imam Tomeh

Drinking Urine

Saleh Al-Ghamedi a well-known Wahhabi cleric and Imam of Qoba Mosque in Medina allowed drinking urine of rebels from the so called Syrian Free Army and Al-Nosrah group and said drinking their urine will cleanse the sins. In an interview with the Saudi's Wesaal Television, Alghamedi reiterated his Fatwa and said acting on the Fatwas issued by the Saudi scholars is Wajeb and added that this world is a passage and believers and especially for those fighting in Syria is a test where Mujahidin by drinking urine can wash away their sins. According to Al-Hadith News Association this Saudi cleric also commented on the Fatwa of Nekah in Syria and said many people criticised it but there is nothing wrong with it and is based on belief and I approve this Fatwa.


Flights to the Islamic State are now leaving several times a day...
@tweet_ajm

ASSHOLE OF THE WEEK

Lord Woolf



'By now it must surely be appreciated that depicting the prophet in a derogatory way will cause grave offence among many Muslims and can lead to an explosive reaction with dreadful consequences.

'The power of the pen can be mighty and even cartoonists who rely sometimes on gross exaggeration to make their point do need to exercise self-restraint, particularly in sensitive areas where religion is involved.'

Countdown Clock



UNTIL A VILLAGE IN KENYA GETS ITS IDIOT BACK